

MANUAL OF
PATENT
EXAMINING
PROCEDURE



PROPERTY OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

MANUAL OF
PATENT
EXAMINING
PROCEDURE



U. S. PATENT OFFICE • DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

November 15, 1949

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.
Price \$2.00 domestic, \$2.75 foreign, including supplements

Foreword

THIS MANUAL is published to provide examiners in the Patent Office with a reference work on the practice and procedure within the Office as it relates to their duties and operations. It contains instructions to examiners, as well as other material in the nature of information and interpretation, and generally outlines the current procedure which the examiners are required or authorized to follow in appropriate cases in the normal examination of applications. Substantive aspects of patent law are only referred to briefly.

Examiners will continue to be governed as in the past by the statutes, the rules of practice, applicable decisions, and orders and instructions issued by the Commissioner or by the Supervisory Examiners under his authority. Existing Orders and Notices relating to the subject matter included in this manual are incorporated and identified as such. Many Orders and Notices have been revised to coordinate them with the new rules of practice or to make other changes and are included in their revised form; these supersede the previous versions of the same Orders and Notices.

It is expected that subsequent changes in practice and other revisions will be incorporated in the form of substitute or additional pages for the manual. Each examiner will be provided with a copy of the manual for his official use and will be expected to maintain it in current condition.

Lawrence B. Kingland

JUNE 9, 1949

Commissioner.

Acknowledgments

This work is the result of the combined efforts of a large number of contributing editors including:

D. D. Andrews, C. G. Angel, D. Arnold, M. F. Bailey, W. Berlowitz, G. L. Brehm, J. L. Brewrink, W. W. Cochran, E. A. Faller, A. J. Goldberg, G. A. Gorecki, M. Hertz, A. M. Horton, W. J. Kanof, R. Leibowitz, L. J. Lenny, C. Levy, I. Lisann, J. C. MacNab, H. Magil, A. Margolis, N. Marmelstein, S. M. Newman, R. A. O'Leary, E. Paul, E. O. Retter, M. C. Rosa, J. Schimmel, A. Shapiro, and R. M. Wood.

In the preparation of this work, use was made of much of the material in the "Manual of Patent Office Procedure" published by the Patent Office Society, the last three editions of which were prepared by C. L. Wolcott. Permission to use this material has been given by the Patent Office Society. Many helpful suggestions by the members of the Patent Office personnel for improving the first draft have been incorporated in the present text.

Programing and organizing of the project was done by R. D. Lambert, of Wallace Clark & Co., consultants.

Numbering System

The system of numbering used in this Manual was selected to indicate to the reader topical indentations in the tables of contents. It may be understood by considering specific examples. Thus, the symbol "1100" refers to Chapter 1100 as a whole; the symbol "1101" refers to a subdivision indented under 1100; the symbol "1101.01" refers to a further subdivision indented under 1101; and "1101.01 (a)" refers to a still further indentation under 1101.01. Thus Chapter 1100 is numbered as follows:

Chapter 1100 Interference

- 1101 Preliminaries to an Interference
- 1101.01 Between Applications
- 1101.01 (a) In Different Divisions
- 1101.01 (b) Common Ownership
- 1101.01 (c) The Interference Search
- 1101.01 (d) Correspondence Under Rule 202
- 1101.01 (e) How Conducted

Indentations beyond the third indentation are ignored in the assignment of a symbol. Fourth and further indentations are given the same type of symbol as a third indentation. Thus in the above example the section entitled "How Conducted" has the same type of symbol as the section entitled "Correspondence Under Rule 202." Because a fourth indent in the table of contents does not show up in the numbering system, those sections which are a fourth indent in the table of contents are given a title in the text which includes the title of the section under which they are indented. Thus, in the text, the heading for Sec. 1101.01 (e) reads: "Correspondence Under Rule 202, How Conducted."

Contents

Introduction	<i>Page</i> 1
Chapter 100 Secrecy and Access	3
200 Types, Cross-noting and Status of Applications	7
300 Ownership and Assignment	17
400 Representative of Inventor or Owner	19
500 Receipt and Handling of Mail and Papers	25
600 Parts, Form and Content of Application	27
700 Examination of Applications	49
800 Division; Double Patenting	97
900 Prior Art, Classification, Search	117
1000 Petitions; Cases Submitted to Commissioner and Supervisory Examiners	131
1100 Interference	135
1200 Appeal	175
1300 Allowance and Issue	183
1400 Correction of Patent	191
1500 Design Patents	197
1600 Plant Patents	201
1700 Miscellaneous	205
Appendix I Table of Orders and Notices	207
Appendix II List of Decisions Cited	213
Index	217

Introduction

Constitutional Basis

The Constitution of the United States provides:

"ART. 1, SEC. 8. The Congress shall have power . . . To promote the progress of science and useful arts, by securing for limited times to authors and inventors the exclusive right to their respective writings and discoveries."

Statutes

Pursuant to the foregoing provision of the Constitution, Congress has passed a number of statutes under which the Patent Office is organized and our patent system is established. The provisions of the statutes can in no way be changed or waived by the Patent Office. The present laws relating to patents are various sections of the Revised Statutes of 1874, derived from the Patent Act of 1870, and numerous amendatory and additional acts which have been passed since that time. These have been compiled as Title 35 of the United States Code and are reprinted by the Patent Office in a pamphlet entitled "Patent Laws." In referring to a particular section of Patent Laws there should ordinarily be given both the Revised Statutes section number and the United States Code citation, thus "R. S. 4886; 35 U. S. C. 31".

Rules of Practice

One of the sections of the patent statutes, namely, R. S. 483; 35 U. S. C. 6, authorizes the Commissioner of Patents, subject to the approval of the Secretary of Commerce, to establish from time to time regulations, not inconsistent with law, for the conduct of proceedings in the Patent Office. These regulations are set forth in a Patent Office booklet entitled "Rules of Practice of the United States Patent Office in Patent Cases". The Rules of Practice have a long history, going back to pamphlets of general information to the public, first issued in 1836. The content has been determined by history, tradition and other factors. Primarily the function of the Rules of Practice is to advise the public of the regulations which have been

established in accordance with the statutes and which must be followed before the Office. But the Patent Office Rules of Practice have always additionally included, as numbered rules, informational material, copies of sections of the patent statutes, purely internal procedure, and the like. It goes without saying that the Rules of Practice govern the Examiners, as well as applicants and their attorneys.

Commissioner's Orders and Notices

From time to time, the Commissioner of Patents has issued Orders and Notices relating to various specific situations that have arisen in operating the Patent Office. Notices and circulars of information or instructions have also been issued by the Supervisory Examiners under authority of the Commissioner. Orders and Notices have served various purposes including directions to the examiners giving them instructions, information, interpretations and the like. Some may be for the information of the public, advising what the Office will do under specified circumstances.

Decisions

In addition to the statutory regulations, the actions taken by the Examiner in the examination of applications for patents are to a great extent governed by decisions on prior cases. Those dissatisfied with an Examiner's action may have it reviewed. In general, it may be stated that from that portion of the Examiner's action pertaining to objections on formal matters, a petition for review may be taken to the Commissioner of Patents (1002) and from that portion of the Examiner's action pertaining to the rejection of claims on the merits, an appeal may be taken to the Board of Appeals (1201). The distinction is set forth in Rules 181 and 191. The decision of the Commissioner on formal matters is final but the decision of the Board of Appeals on questions passed on by it may be carried to the courts. See 1216. In citing decisions as authority for his actions, the Examiner should cite the decision in the manner set forth in 707.06.